1 2 3 4 5	JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 76419) BENJAMIN Z. RUBIN (SBN 249630) NOSSAMAN LLP 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800 Irvine, California 92612-0177 Telephone: (949) 833-7800 Facsimile: (949) 833-7878 jflynn@nossaman.com Attornevs for Plaintiffs	
670	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limi SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPAN limited partnership	ited partnership; Y, L.P., a Delaware
8	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9		
10	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; SPRINT SPECTRUM	Case No. C 08-00342 CW
12 13	REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership,	Hon. Claudia Wilken Courtroom 2
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	VS.	STIPULATION TO STAY ACTION FOR SIXTY (60) DAYS TO FACILITATE SETTLEMENT
16	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO; BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF	DISCUSSIONS
17	SAN MATEO, its governing body; MARK CHURCH, in his official capacity as Board	[Concurrently filed with Proposed Order]
18	Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; JERRY HILL, in	
19	his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of	
20	San Mateo; RICH GORDON, in his official capacity as Board Member of the	
21	Board of Supervisors for the of the County of San Mateo; ROSE GIBSON, in her	
22	official capacity as Board Member of the	
	Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; ADRIENNE TISSIER, in her	
23	official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San	
24	Mateo; and DOES 1-10, inclusive.	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

WHEREAS, on or about January 17, 2008, Plaintiffs Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Sprint Spectrum Realty Company, L.P. (collectively "Sprint") filed the above entitled action; and

WHEREAS, on or about May 29, 2008, Sprint filed a First Amended Complaint; and

WHEREAS, on or about June 9, 2008, defendants County of San Mateo, Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo, Mark Church, Jerry Hill, Rich Gordon, Rose Gibson, and Adrienne Tissier (collectively the "County") filed an answer in the above entitled matter, and thereafter filed the Administrative Record on July 21st; and

WHEREAS, on or about June 19, 2008, the Court held a Case Management Conference and thereafter issued a Scheduling Order setting August 21, 2008 as the deadline for the parties to participate in a Magistrate Judge settlement conference and for Sprint to file an opening brief on a Rule 56 motion, and a further briefing schedule thereafter, with October 2, 2008 as the date for a follow-up case management conference and for hearing on the motion and any cross-motions; and

WHEREAS, since the issuance of the Scheduling Order, the parties have had settlement discussions in earnest; and

WHEREAS, the parties believe there is a substantial possibility of settlement that warrants further discussion, with a view to achieving and avoiding the further expense of litigation, and the unnecessary investment of judicial resources, but that some potential resolutions being evaluated, though not yet determined to be feasible or acceptable to either party, may involve coordination with non-parties to the litigation, and in any event will require authority from the Board of Supervisors in a noticed meeting; and

WHEREAS, Deputy County Counsel and counsel of record for defendants, Timothy J. Fox, has been required to take a brief paternity leave as the result of the preterm birth of twins;

1 2 3 4	JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 76419) NOSSAMAN LLP 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800 Irvine, California 92612-0177 Telephone: (949) 833-7800 Facsimile: (949) 833-7878 jflynn@nossaman.com	
567	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership	ited partnership; Y, L.P., a Delaware
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 11 12	SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership,	Case No. C 08-00342 CW Hon. Claudia Wilken
13	Plaintiffs,	Courtroom 2 [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING
14	vs.	ACTION FOR SIXTY (60) DAYS
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO; BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, its governing body; MARK CHURCH, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; JERRY HILL, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; RICH GORDON, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the of the County of San Mateo; ROSE GIBSON, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; ADRIENNE TISSIER, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; and DOES 1-10, inclusive. Defendants.	
27		
28		
- 1		

286421_1.DOC

1	Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court stays the above action for sixty
2	(60) days. The Court will issue a revised Scheduling Order in light of the stay.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	DATED:
7	
8	Hon. CLAUDIA WILKEN
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-1-
	[PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ACTION FOR SIXTY (60) DAYS